## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,803	TAO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Allen J. Flanigan	3744	

	Allen J. Flanigan	3/44		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	-ED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed win AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	,	E below);		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•			
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
non-allowable claim(s).	<b>.</b>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-14</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7 and 15-24</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	l c			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to our showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13.  ☐ Other: Interview Summary form PTOL 413.				
	/Allon   Elenicon/			
	/Allen J. Flanigan/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3744		
	Timaly Examinor, Art o	37 11		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments do not overcome the 112 first paragraph grounds of rejection; as noted on the attached drawing derived from applicants' Fig. 2, there are at least some intersections in the disclosed invention in which the second outgoing flow is parallel to the first outgoing flow, not orthogonal as claimed (see arrows illustrating one incoming flow and two outgoing flows at the intersection of inlet tubes 36 and 38)

